Install python-opencv and imagemagick on isotesters

For my soon to be published work on #15460 I need the following debian packages deployed on our isotesters:

- python-opencv (for stretch but from buster and on we'll want python3-opencv)
- python-pil (same as above)
- imagemagick

I think I figured out how to add these, but I don't have write access to the puppet-tails repo so I cannot do a PR. See attached patches instead!

Once those patches are deployed we should keep this ticket open (or open a new one): we also want to remove libsikulixapi-java, but we only want that once #15460 is merged into all base branches.

Eh, here are the patches.

Hi anonym,

I'm excited about your work on killing Sikuli so I'll take this one.

In passing, if you assign such tickets to the "Sysadmins" group, I believe every sysadmin gets a notification. While if you don't, likely only I see it, until one of my team-mates looks at our full view of sysadmin tickets and notices the new one (I don't know how likely it is to work).

Cheers!
Perfect IMO! Thanks for teaching me the * ("splat") array operator!

Deployed on our production isotesters.

intrigeri wrote:

In passing, if you assign such tickets to the "Sysadmins" group, I believe every sysadmin gets a notification. While if you don't, likely only I see it, until one of my team-mates looks at our full view of sysadmin tickets and notices the new one (I don't know how likely it is to work).

That is what I wanted, since I don't know who is on sysadmin duty. I might be failing to see your implicit message in what you wrote (?). Did I do the right thing or should I have done something else?

intrigeri wrote:

In passing, if you assign such tickets to the "Sysadmins" group, I believe every sysadmin gets a notification. While if you don't, likely only I see it, until one of my team-mates looks at our full view of sysadmin tickets and notices the new one (I don't know how likely it is to work).

That is what I wanted, since I don't know who is on sysadmin duty. I might be failing to see your implicit message in what you wrote (?).

My goal was to gently hint you about the new preferred way to bring issues to the attention of our sysadmins (I don't remember if it's been announced anywhere and I know it's easy to miss/forget this sort of things, at least, for me it is). I could also have pointed you to https://tails.boum.org/contribute/working_together/roles/sysadmins/#communication but I preferred explaining why this new approach works better. I did not mean anything else than this.

Did I do the right thing or should I have done something else?

Given you had not the needed info in mind when you filed this ticket (hence the need for the explanation I provided), I don't think it would be fair to say you "should" have done something else :)

05/17/2020
And maybe I was unclear again: to assign a ticket to the "Sysadmins" group, click on the list of candidate assignees, as if you wanted to assign to me, then scroll to the bottom and there you'll see "Sysadmins".

Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patch Name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0002-Explicitly-install-xdotool-as-dependency-for-our-Sik.patch</td>
<td>750 Bytes</td>
<td>12/03/2019</td>
<td>anonym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0001-Install-dependencies-for-our-homegrown-Sikuli-replac.patch</td>
<td>1.1 KB</td>
<td>12/03/2019</td>
<td>anonym</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>