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Description
During 2.7, some steps showed weaknesses. Some where adjusted, some are yet to be discussed.

They're marked with XXX in the release process documentation patches

I've included a release notes link in the release process, that's a part of why I missed the synchronization on this side.

History
#1 - 11/21/2016 11:38 AM - sajolida
Count on me if you need help or for reviewing this once you have a first draft.

#2 - 01/19/2018 01:32 PM - Anonymous
- QA Check set to Info Needed

Can we safely assume that because this ticket is already a year old it's not of use to review that anymore? If yes, please reject this ticket.

#3 - 01/19/2018 03:07 PM - intrigeri
- QA Check deleted (Info Needed)
- Type of work changed from Discuss to Contributors documentation

I've taken a look and I'm sure I understand what this is about:

- I suspect there's a terminology problem and this was about the release process doc, instead of what we call "release notes" usually.
- When I follow the link from the description, I see only two instances of "XXX":
  - one about buildinfo, that we still have in Git: indeed we can drop this note, I've uploaded a .changes with buildinfo and dbgsyms a few days ago and it worked fine
  - one that does not seem to be in current master anymore

So I suspect the only action needed to complete this follow up on bertagaz' 2.7 RM shift is to delete 4 lines in wiki/src/contribute/APT_repository/custom.mdwn. Updating ticket metadata accordingly.

Or, the link is wrong and I totally misunderstood what this ticket is about. Which is possible because I don't understand what should be reviewed.

05/15/2020
intrigeri wrote:

- one about buildinfo, that we still have in Git: indeed we can drop this note, I've uploaded a .changes with buildinfo and dbgsyms a few days ago and it worked fine

This was fixed in 91a3d74fb6610e171218debb7bf33424aec3b058 (#16576) so we're done here.