### Tails - Feature #11140

**Rename Tails (back) into TⓘLS**

02/19/2016 01:28 AM - anonym

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature Branch:</td>
<td>feature/11140-TⓘLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of work:</td>
<td>Discuss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueprint:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description

Some of us feel that this name conveys the nature of our project and, more importantly, what we want to achieve, more explicitly.

#### Associated revisions

**Revision 0e0673d9 - 02/19/2016 01:28 AM - intrigeri**

Rename the project: back to the roots.

This is the first iteration in the implementation of our new communication strategy, as outlined during the February, 1917 monthly meeting.

Thanks to anonym for reminding me about it!

Refs: #11140

**Revision 221e765e - 02/21/2016 01:00 PM - intrigeri**

Rename file to match new project name.

refs: #11140

**Revision c923e2eb - 02/21/2016 09:06 PM - intrigeri**

Revert overly avant-garde change, the masses are not ready for it yet.

We didn't communicate to t-p-s the new project name yet.

Some reeducation will be needed.

refs: #11140

#### History

**#1 - 02/19/2016 01:34 AM - intrigeri**

- Feature Branch set to feature/11140-TⓘLS

**#2 - 02/19/2016 01:38 AM - anonym**
I pushed the branch under another name, feature/11140-T-CIRCLED-LATIN-CAPITAL-LETTER-A-ILS, in case the unicode character causes issues for anyone.

Sajolida, what do you think? Can this make it into Tails 2.2? And perhaps we are blocked by updating the logo and other promotion materials? If so such action items can be created as sub-tickets of this one for proper tracking of this effort.

---

#3 - 02/19/2016 01:39 AM - anonym
- Status changed from Confirmed to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 30

#4 - 02/19/2016 02:16 AM - anonym
- Assignee changed from sajolida to anonym
- QA Check changed from Ready for QA to Dev Needed
- Type of work changed from Promote to Discuss

Actually, there is gonna be a vote at Fri Feb 19 03:00:00 UTC 2016. I will facilitate the voting.

#5 - 02/19/2016 03:29 AM - anonym
- Assignee changed from anonym to sajolida
- % Done changed from 30 to 50
- QA Check changed from Dev Needed to Ready for QA

Voting results:

- Number of votes in favour of s/Tails/TⒶILS/g: 2 (100%)
- Number of votes against: 0 (0%)

Please merge'n'push [0], sajolida!

Regarding the potential blockers I mentioned above, let's not allow counter-productive ideas like that to hinder this undeniable refinement. This optimistic strategy is in strict agreement with our new approach of Constant Incremental Improvement and Discrete Self-Evaluation.

[0] Merge'n'push: a merge policy replacing "review'n'merge" effective immediately for members of the RM team. By employing Discrete Self-Evaluation and Post-Agile Engineering, officials of the RM team are allowed to review their own code and assess QA status, but still have to instruct another project member to merge the branch. Note that refusing or delaying such a merge request is not allowed for non-members of the RM committee.

Signed,
Eternal Release Manager anonym
I'm a Discrete Self-Evaluation fan! But I think we should try the Post-Modern Engineering techniques too.

Thx ERM for this note. :D

we should also use names for the releases, like 'bedazzled bakunin', 'nefarious nettlau' or 'glorious goldman'

Did we actually have a daily scrum on this? I think we need one really bad.

muri's proposal is quite important, we should vote. shall we do them in alphabetical order?

spriver wrote:

Did we actually have a daily scrum on this? I think we need one really bad.

muri's proposal is quite important, we should vote. shall we do them in alphabetical order?

I'm happy to announce that me and intrigeri has already voted on muri's proposal, with unanimous approval.

Did we actually have a daily scrum on this? I think we need one really bad.

Thanks for this proposal! I think that indeed, a daily stand up meeting would be key for community bonding. Or shall we call it the daily rise up meeting? There's potential for confusion for all potential Riseup team members among us, though. Maybe this should be discussed on tails-ux@ (or upstream?) first.

Please consider how this unnecessary, extremist political statement will affect URLs, Wikipedia articles, the typesetting of print articles, and the ease of which anyone wanting to write about this software can do so.
cypherpunks wrote:

Please consider how this unnecessary, extremist political statement will affect URLs, Wikipedia articles, the typesetting of print articles, and the ease of which anyone wanting to write about this software can do so.

(This is all a silly joke. :))

#13 - 02/21/2016 11:34 AM - sajolida
- File tails-logo-drawing-revamped.png added

Here's the new version of the logo. I contacted the UX team at NUMØ (they changed name as well) and we'll organize a focus group at La Maison du Bitcoin on July 14.

Regarding version names I think we should be more inclusive in terms of political agenda and I'm proposing instead (in alphabetical order): "drunk developer", "stoned sysadmin", "tripped translator", and "wrecked writer".

Much ♥ :)
- Status changed from In Progress to Rejected
- Assignee deleted (anonym)

Files
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